



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Immunoprofiling of human uterine mast cells identifies three phenotypes and expression of ERb and glucocorticoid receptor

**Citation for published version:**

De leo, B, Esnal-Zufiurre, A, Frances, C, Critchley, H & Saunders, P 2017, 'Immunoprofiling of human uterine mast cells identifies three phenotypes and expression of ERb and glucocorticoid receptor', *F1000Research*. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11432.2>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.12688/f1000research.11432.2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11432.2)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Published In:**

F1000Research

**Publisher Rights Statement:**

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**


The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Check for updates

## RESEARCH ARTICLE

**REVISED** Immunoprofiling of human uterine mast cells identifies three phenotypes and expression of ER $\beta$  and glucocorticoid receptor [version 2; referees: 2 approved]Bianca De Leo<sup>1</sup>, Arantza Esnal-Zufiaurre<sup>2</sup>, Frances Collins<sup>2</sup>, Hilary O.D. Critchley<sup>3</sup>, Philippa T.K. Saunders <sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>MRC Centres for Inflammation Research and Reproductive Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ, UK<sup>2</sup>MRC Centre for Inflammation Research, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ, UK<sup>3</sup>MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH16 4TJ, UK**v2** First published: 12 May 2017, 6:667 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.11432.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11432.1))  
Latest published: 22 Jun 2017, 6:667 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.11432.2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11432.2))**Abstract**

**Background:** Human mast cells (MCs) are long-lived tissue-resident immune cells characterised by granules containing the proteases chymase and/or tryptase. Their phenotype is modulated by their tissue microenvironment. The human uterus has an outer muscular layer (the myometrium) surrounding the endometrium, both of which play an important role in supporting a pregnancy. The endometrium is a sex steroid target tissue consisting of epithelial cells (luminal, glandular) surrounded by a multicellular stroma, with the latter containing an extensive vascular compartment as well as fluctuating populations of immune cells that play an important role in regulating tissue function. The role of MCs in the human uterus is poorly understood with little known about their regulation or the impact of steroids on their differentiation status.

The current study had two aims: 1) To investigate the spatial and temporal location of uterine MCs and determine their phenotype; 2) To determine whether MCs express receptors for steroids implicated in uterine function, including oestrogen (ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ ), progesterone (PR) and glucocorticoids (GR).

**Methods:** Tissue samples from women (n=46) were used for RNA extraction (n=26) or fixed (n=20) for immunohistochemistry.

**Results:** Messenger RNAs encoded by *TPSAB1* (tryptase) and *CMA1* (chymase) were detected in endometrial tissue homogenates. Immunohistochemistry revealed the relative abundance of tryptase MCs was myometrium>basal endometrium>functional endometrium. We show for the first time that uterine MCs are predominantly of the classical MC subtypes: (positive, +; negative, -) tryptase+/chymase- and tryptase+/chymase+, but a third subtype was also identified (tryptase-/chymase+). Tryptase+ MCs were of an ER $\beta$ +/-ER $\alpha$ -/PR-/GR+ phenotype mirroring other uterine immune cell populations, including natural killer cells.

**Conclusions:** Endometrial tissue resident immune MCs have three protease-specific phenotypes. Expression of both ER $\beta$  and GR in MCs mirrors that of other immune cells in the endometrium and suggests that MC function may be altered by the local steroid microenvironment.

**Open Peer Review**Referee Status:  

Invited Referees

1

2

**REVISED****version 2**published  
22 Jun 2017**version 1**published  
12 May 2017

report



report

1 **Lois Salamonsen**, Hudson Institute of Medical Research, Australia

2 **Areege M. Kamal**, Oncology Teaching Hospital, Iraq  
University of Liverpool, UK

**Discuss this article**

Comments (0)

**Corresponding author:** Philippa T.K. Saunders ([p.saunders@ed.ac.uk](mailto:p.saunders@ed.ac.uk))

**Author roles:** **De Leo B:** Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **EsnaI-Zufiaurre A:** Investigation, Methodology, Visualization; **Collins F:** Formal Analysis, Methodology, Project Administration, Writing – Review & Editing; **Critchley HOD:** Resources, Supervision, Writing – Review & Editing; **Saunders PTK:** Conceptualization, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

**Competing interests:** The authors have no competing interests.

**How to cite this article:** De Leo B, EsnaI-Zufiaurre A, Collins F *et al.* Immunoprofiling of human uterine mast cells identifies three phenotypes and expression of ER $\beta$  and glucocorticoid receptor [version 2; referees: 2 approved] *F1000Research* 2017, 6:667 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.11432.2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11432.2))

**Copyright:** © 2017 De Leo B *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

**Grant information:** PTKS, AZ-E and FC were funded by MRC Programme (grant G1100356) to PTKS, which also paid for the costs of consumables used in this study. BD was in receipt of an MRC PhD studentship funded by the Centre Grant for Reproductive Health (G1002033). Salaries of nurses who recruited patients to the study were paid by MRC grants G1100356 (PI. PTKS) and G0500047 (PI. HODC). *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

**First published:** 12 May 2017, 6:667 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.11432.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.11432.1))

**REVISED Amendments from Version 1**

- We have referenced the study by *Mori et al. (1997)* in the Introduction and the study by *Engemise et al. (2011)* in the Discussion.
- We have changed the text of the Introduction so that the abbreviations for TPSAB1 and CMA1 are introduced during the first mention of their importance in defining the mast cell phenotype.
- The text describing the samples has been changed to clarify that although 46 patients were recruited during this study their samples were split between RNA (n=20) and fixation for immunostaining (n=26).
- Although the delta delta CT method is one of the most popular it is based on comparable amplification efficiencies of the endogenous control and gene of interest. As determined by the standard curves the efficiencies of the TPSAB1 and CMA1 were not suitable for analysis by this method and therefore a more appropriate method was the relative standard curve method.
- The tonsil sample was chosen as a positive control as this tissue has mast cells which contain tryptase and chymase: we chose this tissue because we were able to access fixed material from our hospital to use for control experiments to validate the antibodies.
- For dual immunostaining primary antibodies were applied to sections overnight (16h) and incubated in a fridge - secondary antibodies were applied for 1h at room temperature. This is a standard method used in our laboratory and in our hands it leads to lower rates of non-specific background staining than application of primary antibody at room temperature.
- The limitations on the amount of individual fixed samples meant that not all of the fixed samples (n=20) were stained with all antibodies and this is reflected in the numbers stated in the figure legends.

See referee reports

## Introduction

Mast cells (MCs) are long-lived tissue resident immune cells, derived from CD34<sup>+</sup>/c-kit<sup>+</sup> pluripotent progenitors, that reside in the bone marrow (*Kirshenbaum et al., 1999*). MC progenitors are recruited into peripheral tissues by chemokines secreted by stromal cells, which together with stem cell factor, a complex array of cytokines, and a range of micro-environmental factors, are reported to stimulate the development of tissue resident mature MCs (*Valent et al., 1992*). Mature MCs are usually classified according to the presence of one or more serine proteases (tryptase and/or chymase) in prominent cytoplasmic granules.

MCs are typically phenotyped as MC<sub>TC</sub>, with granules containing both tryptase (TPSAB1) and chymase (CMA1), or MC<sub>T</sub>, with granules only containing tryptase alone (*Collington et al., 2011*; *Wernersson & Pejler, 2014*). It has been reported that MCs maturing in different tissue microenvironments can vary widely in the amount of tryptase and chymase they contain (*Caughey, 2007*). When MCs are activated they de-granulate by exocytosis, releasing these serine proteases together with other inflammatory mediators (*Lorentz et al., 2012*; *Tiwari et al., 2008*). The female sex hormones,

oestradiol and progesterone, are thought to have an impact on MCs because many pathophysiological conditions attributed to MC activity have a higher prevalence in females than males (*Narita et al., 2007*). Studies in non-reproductive tissue systems and those using the HMC-1 cell line (human MC line, (*Butterfield et al., 1988*)) have reported that MCs express the oestrogen receptor  $\alpha$  isoform (ER $\alpha$ ) and progesterone receptor (PR) (*Jensen et al., 2010*; *Nicovani & Rudolph, 2002*; *Zaitzu et al., 2007*). Some authors found evidence that MCs can be rapidly stimulated to degranulate by oestradiol via ER $\alpha$  (*Zaitzu et al., 2007*). Glucocorticoid treatments are reported to reduce the number of tissue resident MCs by reducing concentrations of stem cell factor that are required for MC survival (*Finotto et al., 1997*). Glucocorticoids are also reported to prevent MC activation via their high-affinity IgE receptor (*Smith et al., 2002*).

The human endometrium undergoes physiological cycles of cellular proliferation, differentiation and secretory activity during each menstrual cycle (*Johannisson et al., 1987*). In the absence of embryo implantation, the upper functional layer of the endometrium breaks down and is shed at menstruation, which is considered to bear the hallmarks of an inflammatory process (*Jabbour et al., 2006*). Endometrial tissue adjacent to the myometrium (basal compartment) is not shed during menstruation and is implicated in the rapid re-epithelialisation, cessation of bleeding and restoration of tissue homeostasis facilitating regeneration of the functional layer. This monthly tissue remodelling is regulated by changes in cyclical ovarian steroid hormones with oestrogen increasing cell proliferation, progesterone inducing functional maturation of stromal cells in preparation for implantation, and progesterone withdrawal precipitating a cascade of changes culminating in tissue breakdown and menstruation (*Kelly et al., 2001*; *Maybin & Critchley, 2015*; *Salamonsen & Lathbury, 2000*). Endometrial tissue contains stromal, epithelial, and endothelial cells, as well as a diverse population of immune cells, the most abundant of which are uterine natural killer cells (uNK) and macrophages (*Evans & Salamonsen, 2012*; *Thiruchelvam et al., 2013*). We, and others, have investigated the impact of steroids on uNK cells and macrophages and shown that they contain both receptors that can bind oestrogens (ER $\beta$  isoform) and glucocorticoids (*Bombail et al., 2008*; *Henderson et al., 2003*), but are immuno-negative for ER $\alpha$  and PR. The concentrations of steroids in endometrial tissue are subject to local modulation by enzymes that metabolise sex steroids (androgens, oestrogens), as well as glucocorticoids (*Bamberger et al., 2001*; *Gibson et al., 2013*; *Gibson et al., 2016*; *McDonald et al., 2006*). The creation of a steroid rich microenvironment has an impact on the function of immune cells and the vasculature. For example, exposure of uNK to oestrogens increases their secretion of CCL2, which has an impact on vascular endothelial cells (*Gibson et al., 2015*), and likewise exposure of macrophages to cortisol results in the release of factors that induce altered endometrial endothelial cell expression of angiogenic genes (*Thiruchelvam et al., 2016*).

The basal portion of the endometrium sits directly on the myometrium, which is made up of three layers consisting of smooth muscle fibres and associated vasculature and stroma. The inner layer, adjacent to the endometrium, is also known as the junctional

zone. This zone has circular muscle fibres and like the endometrium it is derived from the Mullerian duct (Uduwela *et al.*, 2000), whilst the other layers develop from non-Mullerian tissue. The smooth muscle cells of the myometrium (myocytes) are active during the non-pregnant menstrual cycle, with uterine peristalsis constituting one of their fundamental functions (Kunz & Leyendecker, 2002). Like the endometrium, the myometrium is a steroid target organ with myocytes expressing receptors for oestrogens, progestagens and androgens, all of which can induce changes in gene expression (Chandran *et al.*, 2016; Makieva *et al.*, 2016).

MCs have been identified in the human uterus, with reports that their phenotype is similar to lung MCs in terms of a response to secretagogues and release of prostaglandins, but a granule phenotype distinct to that of gut MCs (Massey *et al.*, 1991). There has also been interest in the role played by MCs in myometrial contractions and in fibroids, although whether they play an important role in either has been questioned (Garfield *et al.*, 2006; Menzies *et al.*, 2011; Protic *et al.*, 2016). A detailed study on uterine MCs was published by Jeziorska *et al.* (1995), who used immunohistochemistry to identify tryptase and chymase positive cells in 107 uterine samples taken across the menstrual cycle. They reported that there were similar MC numbers throughout the functional, basal layers of the endometrium, and myometrium during the menstrual cycle. In a smaller study Mori *et al.* (1997) immunostained uterine samples from 24 women with a variety of gynaecological disorders using antibodies against tryptase and chymase. They reported that the MCs were most abundant in the inner half of the myometrium and most endometrial MCs were of the MC<sub>T</sub> phenotype.

In summary, the role of MCs in the human uterus is poorly understood and little is known about their regulation, or the impact of steroids within the uterine microenvironment on their differentiation status. The current study used tissue sections of human uterus to define the spatial and temporal location of MCs in the myometrium and endometrium, and explored their phenotype by examining the pattern of expression of the proteases tryptase and chymase using fluorescent co-staining. We also examined expression receptors for oestrogen (ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ ), progesterone (PR) or glucocorticoids (GR) to determine their ability to respond directly to steroids.

## Methods

### Patients and tissue recovery

Ethical committee approval was obtained from the Lothian Research Ethics Committee (LREC; approval numbers, 10/S1402/59 and 16/ES/0007). Patients were recruited by dedicated research nurses from clinics treating women for benign gynaecological conditions, including heavy menstrual bleeding and fibroids. In all cases written patient consent was obtained prior to tissue collection. Full details of patients are provided in Supplementary Table 1. The total number of women from which samples were obtained was 46. However, due to limited amount of tissue available, some samples were either fixed (n=20) or used for RNA extraction (n=26), but not for both. Patients were aged between 25–50 years (average of 39.8 years), reported regular menstrual cycles and had not taken any exogenous hormones in the three months prior to surgery. Stage of the menstrual cycle was evaluated by analysis of circulating steroid concentrations (P<sub>4</sub>, E<sub>2</sub>) using blood samples obtained at the time of surgery. Assays were performed by the Specialist Assay Service (Surf Facility, University

of Edinburgh) and cycle stage was further confirmed by examination of tissue sections by an expert pathologist, Professor A.R.W. Williams (NHS, Royal Infirmary, Edinburgh). Critical inclusion criteria were the absence of pelvic pain, such as dysmenorrhea, absence of fibroids or presence of small fibroids only (<3 cm): none of these women had a diagnosis of endometriosis.

### RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, UK), according to manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration and purity was measured using the Nanodrop (LabTech International, UK) and standardised to 100ng/ $\mu$ l for all samples. Reverse transcription was performed using 100ng of RNA with 0.125 $\times$  Superscript Enzyme in 1 $\times$  VILO reaction mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) at 25°C for 10 minutes, followed by 42°C for 60 minutes and finally 85°C for 5 minutes. Quantitative PCR was performed using FAM labelled probes for *TPSAB1* (number 20) and *CMA1* (number 81) from the Universal Probe Library (Roche Diagnostics, UK) and VIC labelled human PPIA (Cyclophilin A) endogenous control (Thermo Fisher Scientific), with specific primers for *TPSAB1* (forward 5'-cctgcctcagagaccttcc-3'; reverse 5'-acctgcttcagaggaaatgg-3') and *CMA1* (forward 5'-ttcaccggaatctccatta-3'; reverse 5'-tcaggatccaggattaattgc-3') (Eurofins Genomics, UK). Primers directed against human cyclophilin A (CYC, PPIA) served as an endogenous control were supplied in a premade kit purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (catalogue number 4310883E). Each 15 $\mu$ l reaction consisted of 1 $\mu$ l of cDNA in 1 $\times$  Express qPCR Supermix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with 200nM of forward and reverse primer, 100nM probe, amplified for 40 cycles at 95°C for 15s followed by 60°C for 1 minute using the ABI Prism 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, UK). Analysis was by relative standard curve according to the recommendations of Bustin *et al.* (2009) using tonsil mRNA (ASD-0088; Applied StemCell, USA), chosen because it is a well-established positive control for mRNA expression of MC proteases (Irani *et al.*, 1986).

Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, USA). Data are presented as the median. One-way ANOVA was used, and Kruskal-Wallis was performed as a secondary test with Dunn's multiple comparisons test. Criterion for significance was p<0.05.

### Phenotyping mast cells by immunohistochemistry and dual colour immunofluorescence

Immunohistochemistry was carried out on "full thickness" (uterine lumen to endometrial-myometrial junction) human uterine sections to localize MCs to the different tissue layers: myometrium, basal endometrium and functional (luminal) endometrium. Uterine biopsies were fixed in 4% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin wax and cut to 5 $\mu$ m sections. Following dewaxing and rehydration, sections were blocked in methanol peroxide for 30 minutes on a rocker at room temperature (RT), followed by 30 minutes blocking in normal goat blocking serum (Sigma Aldrich, Dorset, UK), before primary antibody incubation at 4°C overnight (16h): Tryptase, rabbit monoclonal, Abcam, UK; Chymase, mouse monoclonal, AbSerotec, UK; ER $\alpha$ , mouse monoclonal, Vector Laboratories, UK; ER $\beta$  mouse monoclonal, AbSerotec, UK. After washing in 1 $\times$  Tris Buffered Saline + 0.05% Tween, slides were incubated with secondary antibody for 1 hour at RT,



followed by 1:50 tyramide signal amplification (TSA Fluorescein Tyramide Reagent Pack, PerkinElmer, USA) for 10 minutes. Antigen retrieval was performed at pH6 in citrate buffer, and then further blocked with serum to avoid cross-reactivity. The second primary antibody was then added and incubated overnight at 4°C. Incubation with an appropriate secondary antibody at 4°C for 16 hours and a further TSA amplification step were carried out before counterstaining the sections with DAPI (1:500 dilution in TBS) and mounting with permafluor (ThermoFisher Scientific). Fluorescent images were acquired with a Zeiss Axioscan Z1 or a Zeiss 710 confocal microscope, and analysed with Zen Blue or Black software (version 2; Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Full antibody details can be found in [Supplementary Table 2](#).

## Results

### Messenger RNAs encoding mast cell proteases were detected in human endometrium

In tissue homogenates of endometrium, total concentrations of messenger RNAs encoded by *TPSAB1* (gene for tryptase  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  isoforms; [Figure 1A](#)) and *CMA1* (chymase; [Figure 1B](#)) did not change significantly (*TPSAB1* 0.254; *CMA1* 0.867), according to stage of the menstrual cycle.

### Immunofluorescence identified three distinct mast cell subtypes in uterine biopsies collected during different stages of the menstrual cycle

Immunoexpression of both tryptase and chymase positive cells were identified in all three layers of the human uterus examined in this study. In line with a previous report ([Jeziorska et al., 1995](#)), the numbers of tryptase immunopositive cells appeared higher in the myometrium and adjacent basal layer of the endometrium than in the functional layer (green cells) in all phases of


the cycle ([Figure 2](#) and [Figure 3](#), [Supplementary Figure 1–Supplementary Figure 3](#)). Notably some of the chymase cells (red staining) in the myometrium appeared to be ‘activated’, with immunopositive staining being intense and diffuse within the tissue during both the early ([Supplementary Figure 2](#)) mid ([Figure 3](#), arrow) and late ([Supplementary Figure 3](#)) secretory and menstrual ([Figure 4](#), arrows) phases.

Examination of tissues from 20 of the patients obtained at different stages of the cycle, including the proliferative ([Supplementary Figure 1](#)), early ([Supplementary Figure 2](#)) mid ([Figure 3](#)) and late ([Supplementary Figure 3](#)) secretory and menstrual ([Figure 4](#)) phases, also identified a population of MCs that were chymase positive, but without co-incident expression of tryptase (arrows). These cells appeared less abundant than those that were immunopositive for both tryptase and chymase (arrow-heads), and were confined to the basal compartment of the endometrium and the myometrium.


The data obtained from immunohistochemical analysis of the 20 patients are summarized in [Table 1](#).

### Tryptase-positive uterine mast cells were immunopositive for oestrogen receptor beta but did not express either oestrogen receptor alpha or progesterone receptor

The uterus is an oestrogen target organ and detailed immunohistochemical studies conducted on menstrual cycle staged sections of endometrial tissue by ourselves ([Bombail et al., 2008](#); [Critchley et al., 2001](#)) and others ([Mylonas et al., 2004](#); [Snijders et al., 1992](#)) have documented cell and phase-dependent expression of both isoforms of the oestrogen receptor (ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ ). In the current study, in line with expectation, we identified ER $\alpha$



**Figure 1. Messenger RNAs encoded by genes encoding mast cell proteases were not cycle stage dependent.** (A) *TPSAB1* mRNA and (B) *CMA1* mRNA. Single dots represent different patient samples, and data are expressed as the median. Proliferative phase n=14, early secretory phase n=7, mid secretory phase n=6, and menstrual phase n=3.



**Figure 2. Comparison of immunoexpression of chymase and tryptase in “full thickness” (uterine lumen to endometrial/myometrial junction) tissue samples obtained from across the menstrual cycle.** Note that mast cells were less abundant in the functional layer and appeared to be exclusively tryptase+/chymase-. (A–C) Functional, basal endometrium and myometrium during proliferative phase (P); (D–F) Early secretory phase (ES); (G–I) Mid secretory phase (MS); (J–L) Late Secretory phase (LS); (M–O) Menstrual phase (M); (P–R) Negative control (omission of primary antibody). Double immunofluorescence has revealed the presence of three uterine mast cell subtypes, single tryptase, single chymase and double tryptase-chymase positive cells. (P n=4, ES n=4, MS n=2, LS n=3, M n=2): negative controls were included on all sections.

positive stromal and epithelial cells in the endometrium and stromal fibroblasts in the myometrium (Supplementary Figure 4); however, although tryptase-positive cells were readily detected in the basal endometrium and myometrium, none of these had detectable ER $\alpha$  protein in their nuclei (Supplementary Figure 4). In contrast, immunopositive staining for ER $\beta$  protein was present in multiple cell types, including stromal fibroblasts and endometrial epithelial cells, as well as tryptase-positive (green cytoplasm) MCs in both the functional and basal regions of the endometrium and throughout the myometrium of the uterus (Figure 5, arrows). The results obtained with antibody directed against the progesterone receptor mirrored those of ER $\alpha$ , with no evidence of PR-positive MCs (Supplementary Figure 5).

#### Uterine mast cells are immunopositive for the glucocorticoid receptor

We have previously identified GR in multiple cells within the endometrium, including endothelial cells and immune cells (Rae *et al.*, 2009; Thiruchelvam *et al.*, 2016), complemented by evidence that enzymes capable of the biosynthesis of cortisol, the natural ligand for GR, are present in the tissue (Thiruchelvam *et al.*, 2016). In the present study, immunostaining for GR showed it was expressed within the stromal fibroblasts and other cells (putative immune cells), as well as being present in

the nucleus of tryptase-positive cells in both endometrium and myometrium (arrowheads, Figure 6).


In summary, we detected co-immunoexpression of both the beta isoform of ER and GR in the nuclei of uterine MCs (tryptase positive staining in their cytoplasm), but no evidence of immunoexpression of ER $\alpha$  or PR. A photomontage of representative sections stained for each of the receptors is provided in Figure 7.

#### Dataset 1.TPSAB1 and CMA1 CT values for qRT-PCR

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.11432.d160468>

#### Discussion

This study has shed new light both on the phenotype of endometrial and myometrial MCs, as well as revealing the potential that they might respond *in situ* to both oestrogens and glucocorticoids. MCs are known to arise from progenitors in the bone marrow, but adapt to their mature phenotype depending upon the tissue microenvironment in which they mature. To date, uterine MCs have received little attention compared to other immune cell populations, such as uNK cells and macrophages (Gibson *et al.*, 2015;



**Figure 3. A population of chymase positive mast cells that did not express tryptase was identified in uterine tissue samples from the mid secretory phase.** The endometrial compartment shows three different mast cell (MC) subtypes: tryptase single positive, chymase single positive and tryptase and chymase double positive. Basal endometrial MCs are chymase+/tryptase- single positive and double positive, instead functional endometrium MCs are fewer in number and show a chymase-/tryptase+ phenotype. MCs during the secretory appeared to be activated in the myometrium, releasing both proteases from the cytoplasm. (n=3) (Arrowheads: MC<sub>TC</sub> cells; Vs: MC<sub>T</sub> cells; arrows: MC<sub>C</sub> cells).


Henderson *et al.*, 2003; Thiruchelvam *et al.*, 2013). For example, detailed analysis of immune cell populations in endometrium show cyclical variations in their numbers of immune cells, with a notable rise in uNK cells during the secretory phase, a rise in numbers of neutrophils at the start of menstrual tissue breakdown and the largest numbers of macrophages detected during the menstrual phase (reviewed in Maybin & Critchley, 2015).

Analysis of mRNAs encoding proteases expressed by MCs has not previously been reported in endometrial tissue homogenates. We found that the concentrations of tryptase and chymase mRNAs in our samples did not vary significantly between different phases of the menstrual cycle. These results would be consistent with previous reports that MC numbers vary little throughout the menstrual cycle (Salamonsen *et al.*, 2002). We speculate that

these results may reflect the long life span of tissue resident MCs, with some studies reporting that MCs have a lifespan of weeks to months (Kiernan, 1979; Padawer, 1974). As tryptase and chymase mRNAs are constitutively expressed by MCs (Pejler *et al.*, 2007), it is unsurprising they may remain unchanged if cell numbers are fairly constant.

Traditionally, human MCs are classified according to different phenotypes depending on their expression of tryptase and/or chymase in cellular granules (Irani *et al.*, 1986). In line with expectations based on MC phenotype in multiple tissues, we readily detected both tryptase positive and chymase positive cells within both the endometrium and myometrium. Using immunofluorescence, we were able to co-stain for tryptase and chymase in the same cells revealing populations of cells that were of MC<sub>T</sub> and MC<sub>TC</sub> phenotypes. Weidner & Austin (1993) were the first to






**Figure 4.** Samples from the menstrual phase contained chymase positive cells that appeared to be ‘activated’, as well as abundant double positive cells in the basal endometrium. Uterine mast cells (MCs) appear to be tryptase and chymase double positive, in both myometrial and basal endometrial layers, with a small portion of chymase+ MCs in the myometrium. MCs were not detectable in the functional layer. MCs during the menstrual phase appeared to be activated only in myometrial compartment, releasing both tryptase and chymase from the cytoplasm. In the basal endometrium, MCs showed a steady state instead. (n=2) (Arrowheads: MC<sub>TC</sub> cells; Vs: MC<sub>T</sub> cells; arrows: MC<sub>C</sub> cells).

**Table 1.** Summary of uterine mast cell phenotypes documented in tissue samples collected across the menstrual cycle.

		Proliferative	Early secretory	Mid secretory	Late secretory	Menstrual
Mast cell sub-types	Endo	MC <sub>T</sub> , MC <sub>TC</sub>	MC <sub>T</sub> , MC <sub>TC</sub>	MC <sub>T</sub> , MC <sub>TC</sub> , MC <sub>C</sub>	MC <sub>T</sub>	MC <sub>TC</sub>
	Myo	MC <sub>TC</sub> , MC <sub>C</sub>	MC <sub>T</sub> , MC <sub>TC</sub> , MC <sub>C</sub>	MC <sub>T</sub> , MC <sub>TC</sub>	MC <sub>TC</sub>	MC <sub>TC</sub> , MC <sub>C</sub>

report the existence of a chymase positive/tryptase negative (MC<sub>C</sub>) population of MCs in skin, lung and bowel. MC<sub>C</sub> have been detected by immunofluorescence in the airway and gastrointestinal tract, reported as being 12% of the MC population in human bronchi and 16.8% bowel submucosa. The current study provides the first evidence for the presence of a chymase positive

subpopulation of MCs that did not contain tryptase. This complements and extends a previous study that stained parallel tissue sections with antibodies directed against tryptase and chymase (Jeziorska *et al.*, 1995), identifying both MC<sub>T</sub> and MC<sub>TC</sub>, and increases both our understanding of the location and phenotypic heterogeneity of MCs in the uterus.




**Figure 5. Mast cells are immunopositive for ER $\beta$ .** Immunohistochemistry showed co-localization of ER $\beta$  in uterine mast cells (MCs). Nuclear expression of ER $\beta$  receptor (red staining) was detected in MCs across the tissue structures of uterus, myometrium, basal and functional endometrium, and during both the proliferative and secretory phases of the menstrual cycle. (Proliferative n=5, Secretory n=5).


Results in this study showed the phenotype of the uterine MCs varied between the different tissue layers of the uterus. Confirming previous studies, MC<sub>TC</sub> were predominantly resident in the basal endometrium and in the myometrium and MC<sub>T</sub> were found in functional endometrium. The rare MC<sub>C</sub> type was detected in the basal endometrium and myometrium and completely absent

in the functional layer. These findings reinforce the principle that tissue specific phenotypes of MCs can exist within different regions within the same organ. It is already well known that the functional and basal compartments of the endometrium and myometrium vary with regard to cellular composition and cytokine/chemokine concentrations. Interestingly the region of the tissue





**Figure 6.** Uterine mast cells in the endometrium and myometrium are immunopositive for glucocorticoid receptor. Mast cell nuclear glucocorticoid receptors (GR; red staining) was detected during the proliferative and secretory phase, throughout the myometrium, functional and basal endometrial and layers. The images are representative of results in proliferative (n=5) and secretory (n=5) phase samples.



**Figure 7. Summary immunoeexpression of steroid receptors in human uterine mast cells.** Uterine mast cells are immunopositive for ER $\beta$  and GR, and immunonegative for ER $\alpha$  and PR. (Red staining: ER $\alpha$ , ER $\beta$ , PR and GR; green staining: tryptase). Arrowheads point to nuclei that have immunopositive (red) staining for ER $\beta$  and GR.

where MCs appeared most abundant were close to the endometrial-myometrial junction. Previous studies have demonstrated that a large number of CD34<sup>+</sup> MC progenitor cells reside in this area of the tissue and that their numbers are independent of phase of the menstrual cycle (Cho *et al.*, 2004; Mai *et al.*, 2008). Finding MCs in close proximity to smooth muscle fibres would be consistent with this cell type being a key source of stem cell factor, and a vital mediator for MC maturation and survival (Zhang *et al.*, 1996).

The activation state of uterine MCs was also explored during the present study. Previously, endometrial MCs were reported to degranulate during the secretory phase, at a time when the tissue is in an oedematous state. This observation was based on detection of extracellular tryptase during oedema and weak intracellular tryptase staining detectable during the proliferative phase (Jeziorska *et al.*, 1995). In this study, endometrial activation and the degranulation of MCs was documented during the early and mid-secretory stages of normal uterine tissue with detection of tryptase and chymase in the extracellular matrix. A 'recovery' state, characterised by weak immunostaining, was observed in tissue collected from patients during the proliferative (Supplementary Figure 1), late secretory (Supplementary Figure 3) and menstrual (Figure 4) stages. Within the myometrial compartment, MCs appeared to be in a 'resting' state during the proliferative phase (Supplementary Figure 1). Interestingly, in the myometrium, MCs appeared to be 'activated' with detection of immunopositive staining for chymase diffuse and spread beyond the margins of the individual cells during both mid secretory and menstrual phases (Figure 3 and Figure 4). We speculate that this might suggest a potential role for MC derived proteases in regulation of arteriole sprouting at early/mid secretory phases. A previous study also suggested the release of granules may play a role in smooth

muscle contraction during menses (Sivridis *et al.*, 2001) and our findings would be consistent with this suggestion.

Although, other authors have previously demonstrated a direct effect of female sex hormones on MC behaviour, activation and migration, in those studies they cited activation of MCs via ER $\alpha$  and PR (Jensen *et al.*, 2010; Zaitzu *et al.*, 2007). The study by Engemise *et al.* (2011) examined the number of mast cells, identified by toluidine blue, in the endometrium of 28 women with endometriosis before and after insertion of a Mirena coil secreting the progestogen levonorgestrel. They reported a reduction in mast cell number after 6 months but found no evidence of staining for PR or ER $\alpha$ . In the current study, based on detailed immunohistochemical analysis, using previously validated antibodies directed against oestrogen receptor subtypes (Critchley *et al.*, 2002; Henderson *et al.*, 2003), we found novel evidence for immunoeexpression of ER $\beta$ , but no evidence of expression of ER $\alpha$ . These results mirror the oestrogen receptor phenotype of both uNK (Gibson *et al.*, 2015; Henderson *et al.*, 2003) and macrophages (Thiruchelvam *et al.*, 2013). This observation is also supported by the activation of uterine MCs during the secretory phase at a time in the menstrual cycle when intracrine biosynthesis of oestradiol has been shown to activate ER $\beta$  positive uNKs (Gibson *et al.*, 2015).

In other studies, we have shown that in endometrial tissue expression of ER $\beta$  often parallels that of GR with co-expression in both uNK (Henderson *et al.*, 2003) and endothelial cells (Critchley *et al.*, 2002). In the current study, nuclear GR was detected in MCs in the functional, basal endometrium and myometrium. In the same samples, GR protein was also detected in endometrial stroma and smooth muscle fibres during the



proliferative phase, but its expression was reduced during the progesterone-dominant secretory phase, a result which was in agreement with previous reports (Bamberger *et al.*, 2001; Henderson *et al.*, 2003). Several studies have reported that glucocorticoids may have an indirect anti-inflammatory impact on MCs, with the postulated mechanism being a reduction of stem cell factor production by fibroblasts (Da Silva *et al.*, 2002). Alternatively, they may also have direct impacts by reducing IgE binding to the FcεRI receptors, thereby down regulating the expression of these receptors on the cell membrane of the MCs and inhibiting MC degranulation *in vitro* (Finotto *et al.*, 1997; Yamaguchi *et al.*, 2001; Zhou *et al.*, 2008). Prior to the current study, the only report of expression of GR in human MCs was from Oppong *et al.* (2014). In their study, they localized GR to the plasma membrane in the RBL-2H3 MC line. The current study is the first to demonstrate that uterine MCs are immunopositive for nuclear GR. A glucocorticoid rich environment would favour activation of GR, with shuttling of ligand activated receptor from the cytoplasm towards the nucleus (Phuc Le *et al.*, 2005). This observation would be consistent with expression 11-β hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase enzymes within the uterus, resulting in a cortisol rich microenvironment (Gibson *et al.*, 2013; McDonald *et al.*, 2006).

In summary, our study confirms that MCs are members of the leucocyte population of the human uterus, and that they are most abundant in the myometrial and basal endometrial compartments. Whilst uterine MCs predominantly belong to the classic MC subtypes: tryptase positive/chymase negative (MC<sub>T</sub>) and tryptase/chymase positive (MC<sub>TC</sub>), a rare third subtype (MC<sub>C</sub>) was also identified in the uterus for the first time. We demonstrated that endometrial and myometrial MCs are immunopositive for both ERβ and GR, demonstrating that, like other immune cells present in the endometrium (uNK, macrophages), they may be a target for the *direct* actions of oestrogens and glucocorticoids, which are both synthesised within the endometrial tissue microenvironment. This study provides a framework for future studies on the role of MCs in endometrial and myometrial disorders, including conditions associated with increased pain, such as endometriosis.

## Supplementary material

**Supplementary Table 1. Details of patients, cycle stage, diagnosis and use of individual samples in different experimental protocols.**

[Click here to access the data.](#)

**Supplementary Table 2. Details of antibodies used for immunofluorescence.**

[Click here to access the data.](#)

**Supplementary Figure 1. Immunolocalisation of tryptase and chymase in proliferative phase endometrium.** Single fluorescence channels show the different mast cell subtypes in a uterine “full thickness” section during proliferative phase of the menstrual cycle. The myometrial compartment shows three different mast cell subtypes: tryptase single positive, chymase single positive and tryptase and chymase double positive. Basal endometrial mast cells are tryptase single positive and double positive, instead functional endometrium MCs are fewer in number and show a tryptase only phenotype. The MC activation profile during proliferative phase looks quiescent; proteases are retained in the cytoplasm. (n=4) (White triangles: MCTC cells; white Vs: MCT cells; white arrows: MCC cells).

[Click here to access the data.](#)

## Data availability

Dataset 1: TPSAB1 and CMA1 CT values for qRT-PCR. doi, 10.5256/f1000research.11432.d160468 (De Leo *et al.*, 2017).

## Ethical approval

Lothian Research Ethics Committee (LREC) approval was granted and written patient consent was obtained prior to tissue collection by dedicated research nurses (approval numbers, 10/S1402/59 and 16/ES/0007).

## Author contributions

Conceptualization: BD, HODC, PTKS; Investigation and analysis: BD, AE-Z, FC; Tissue Resources: HODC; Writing original draft: PTKS; Writing – reviewing and editing: BD, FC, HODC, PTKS; Supervision, management and funding: PTKS, HODC

## Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests.

## Grant information

PTKS, AZ-E and FC were funded by MRC Programme (grant G1100356) to PTKS, which also paid for the costs of consumables used in this study. BD was in receipt of an MRC PhD studentship funded by the Centre Grant for Reproductive Health (G1002033). Salaries of nurses who recruited patients to the study were paid by MRC grants G1100356 (PI. PTKS) and G0500047 (PI. HODC).

*The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Research Nurses Sharon McPherson and Catherine Murray for patient recruitment, the staff of the SuRF histology facility (QMRI), who performed routine tissue processing for immunohistochemistry, and Dr Douglas Gibson for expert advice.

**Supplementary Figure 2. Mast cell subtypes and activation state during the early secretory phase.** Single fluorescence channels show the different mast cell subtypes in a uterine full thickness section during the early secretory phase. Myometrial compartment shows three different mast cell subtypes: tryptase single positive, chymase single positive and tryptase and chymase double positive. Basal endometrial mast cells are tryptase single positive and double positive, instead functional endometrium MCs are fewer in number and show a chymase negative phenotype. MCs during the early secretory phase appeared to be activated, releasing both proteases from the cytoplasm. (n=4) (White triangles: MCTC cells; white Vs: MCT cells; white arrows: MCC cells).

[Click here to access the data.](#)

**Supplementary Figure 3. Mast cell subtypes and activation state during the late secretory phase.** During the late secretory phase, MCs are identified as tryptase single positive and weakly double positive (MCTC). In both the endometrial layers MCs showed a strong tryptase only phenotype. MCs during the late secretory phase appeared to be activated only in the myometrial compartment, releasing tryptase and retaining chymase in the cytoplasm. (n=3) (White triangles: MCTC cells; white Vs: MCT cells).

[Click here to access the data.](#)

**Supplementary Figure 4. Immunoeexpression of ERalpha (ER $\alpha$ ) was detected in multiple cell types within the endometrium and myometrium but not in the nuclei of tryptase-positive mast cells (MCs).** Double immunofluorescence showed no ER $\alpha$  immunoeexpression (red staining) in the nuclei of uterine MCs (green staining). MCs were noted to be immunonegative in all uterine layers and across the phases of the menstrual cycle. Myometrial, stromal and epithelial cells showed expression for ER $\alpha$ , as expected (Proliferative n=5, Secretory n=5).

[Click here to access the data.](#)

**Supplementary Figure 5. Mast cells and progesterone receptor (PR) immunoeexpression in the human uterus.** Mast cells were demonstrated to be immunonegative for PR expression (red staining), across “full thickness” uterine sections and during both the proliferative and secretory phase. (Proliferative n=5, Secretory n=5).

[Click here to access the data.](#)

## References

- Bamberger AM, Milde-Langosch K, Löning T, *et al.*: **The glucocorticoid receptor is specifically expressed in the stromal compartment of the human endometrium.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2001; **86**(10): 5071–4.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bombail V, Macpherson S, Critchley HO, *et al.*: **Estrogen receptor related beta is expressed in human endometrium throughout the normal menstrual cycle.** *Hum Reprod.* 2008; **23**(12): 2782–90.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, *et al.*: **The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments.** *Clin Chem.* 2009; **55**(4): 611–622.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Butterfield JH, Weiler D, Dewald G, *et al.*: **Establishment of an immature mast cell line from a patient with mast cell leukemia.** *Leuk Res.* 1988; **12**(4): 345–55.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Caughy GH: **Mast cell tryptases and chymases in inflammation and host defense.** *Immunol Rev.* 2007; **217**(1): 141–54.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Chandran S, Cairns MT, O'Brien M, *et al.*: **Effects of combined progesterone and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol treatment on the transcriptome of cultured human myometrial smooth muscle cells.** *Physiol Genomics.* 2016; **48**(1): 50–61.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Cho NH, Park YK, Kim YT, *et al.*: **Lifetime expression of stem cell markers in the uterine endometrium.** *Fertil Steril.* 2004; **81**(2): 403–7.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Collington SJ, Williams TJ, Weller CL: **Mechanisms underlying the localisation of mast cells in tissues.** *Trends Immunol.* 2011; **32**(10): 478–485.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Critchley HO, Brenner RM, Henderson TA, *et al.*: **Estrogen receptor beta, but not estrogen receptor alpha, is present in the vascular endothelium of the human and nonhuman primate endometrium.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2001; **86**(3): 1370–1378.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Critchley HO, Henderson TA, Kelly RW, *et al.*: **Wild-type estrogen receptor (ERbeta1) and the splice variant (ERbeta2/beta2) are both expressed within the human endometrium throughout the normal menstrual cycle.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2002; **87**(11): 5265–73.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Da Silva CA, Kassel O, Mathieu E, *et al.*: **Inhibition by glucocorticoids of the interleukin-1beta-enhanced expression of the mast cell growth factor SCF.** *Br J Pharmacol.* 2002; **135**(7): 1634–40.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- De Leo B, Esnal-Zufiaurre A, Collins F, *et al.*: **Dataset 1 in: Immunoprofiling of human uterine mast cells identifies three phenotypes and expression of ER $\beta$  and glucocorticoid receptor.** *F1000Research.* 2017.  
[Data Source](#)
- Engemise SL, Willets JM, Emembolu JO, *et al.*: **The effect of the levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine system, Mirena $\text{®}$  on mast cell numbers in women with endometriosis undergoing symptomatic treatment.** *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2011; **159**(2): 439–442.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Evans J, Salamonsen LA: **Inflammation, leukocytes and menstruation.** *Rev Endocr Metab Disord.* 2012; **13**(4): 277–88.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Finotto S, Mekori YA, Metcalfe DD: **Glucocorticoids decrease tissue mast cell number by reducing the production of the c-kit ligand, stem cell factor, by resident cells: *in vitro* and *in vivo* evidence in murine systems.** *J Clin Invest.* 1997; **99**(7): 1721–8.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Garfield RE, Irani AM, Schwartz LB, *et al.*: **Structural and functional comparison of mast cells in the pregnant versus nonpregnant human uterus.** *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006; **194**(1): 261–267.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gibson DA, Greaves E, Critchley HO, *et al.*: **Estrogen-dependent regulation of human uterine natural killer cells promotes vascular remodelling via secretion of CCL2.** *Hum Reprod.* 2015; **30**(6): 1290–1301.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Gibson DA, McInnes KJ, Critchley HO, *et al.*: **Endometrial Intracrinology--generation of an estrogen-dominated microenvironment in the secretory phase of women.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2013; **98**(11): E1802–6.  
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Gibson DA, Simitsidellis I, Cousins FL, *et al.*: **Intracrine Androgens Enhance**

**Decidualization and Modulate Expression of Human Endometrial Receptivity Genes.** *Sci Rep.* 2016; 6: 19970.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Henderson TA, Saunders PT, Moffett-King A, *et al.*: **Steroid receptor expression in uterine natural killer cells.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2003; 88(1): 440–449.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Irani AA, Schechter NM, Craig SS, *et al.*: **Two types of human mast cells that have distinct neutral protease compositions.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1986; 83(12): 4464–4468.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Jabbour HN, Kelly RW, Fraser HM, *et al.*: **Endocrine regulation of menstruation.** *Endocr Rev.* 2006; 27(1): 17–46.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Jensen F, Woudwyk M, Teles A, *et al.*: **Estradiol and progesterone regulate the migration of mast cells from the periphery to the uterus and induce their maturation and degranulation.** *PLoS One.* 2010; 5(12): e14409.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Jeziorska M, Salamonsen LA, Woolley DE: **Mast cell and eosinophil distribution and activation in human endometrium throughout the menstrual cycle.** *Biol Reprod.* 1995; 53(2): 312–20.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Johannisson E, Landgren BM, Rohr HP, *et al.*: **Endometrial morphology and peripheral hormone levels in women with regular menstrual cycles.** *Fertil Steril.* 1987; 48(3): 401–408.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Kelly RW, King AE, Critchley HO: **Cytokine control in human endometrium.** *Reproduction.* 2001; 121(1): 3–19.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Kiernan JA: **Production and life span of cutaneous mast cells in young rats.** *J Anat.* 1979; 128(Pt 2): 225–238.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Kirshenbaum AS, Goff JP, Semere T, *et al.*: **Demonstration that human mast cells arise from a progenitor cell population that is CD34<sup>+</sup>, c-kit<sup>+</sup>, and expresses aminopeptidase N (CD13).** *Blood.* 1999; 94(7): 2333–42.

[PubMed Abstract](#)

Kunz G, Leyendecker G: **Uterine peristaltic activity during the menstrual cycle: characterization, regulation, function and dysfunction.** *Reprod Biomed Online.* 2002; 4(Suppl 3): 5–9.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Lorentz A, Baumann A, Vitte J, *et al.*: **The SNARE Machinery in Mast Cell Secretion.** *Front Immunol.* 2012; 3: 143.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Mai KT, Teo I, Al Moghrabi H, *et al.*: **Calretinin and CD34 immunoreactivity of the endometrial stroma in normal endometrium and change of the immunoreactivity in dysfunctional uterine bleeding with evidence of 'disordered endometrial stroma'.** *Pathology.* 2008; 40(5): 493–9.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Makieva S, Hutchinson LJ, Rajagopal SP, *et al.*: **Androgen-Induced Relaxation of Uterine Myocytes Is Mediated by Blockade of Both Ca(2+) Flux and MLC Phosphorylation.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2016; 101(3): 1055–65.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Massey WA, Guo CB, Dvorak AM, *et al.*: **Human uterine mast cells. Isolation, purification, characterization, ultrastructure, and pharmacology.** *J Immunol.* 1991; 147(5): 1621–7.

[PubMed Abstract](#)

Maybin JA, Critchley HO: **Menstrual physiology: Implications for endometrial pathology and beyond.** *Hum Reprod Update.* 2015; 21(6): 748–761.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

McDonald SE, Henderson TA, Gomez-Sanchez CE, *et al.*: **11Beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenases in human endometrium.** *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2006; 248(1–2): 72–78.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Menzies FM, Shepherd MC, Nibbs RJ, *et al.*: **The role of mast cells and their mediators in reproduction, pregnancy and labour.** *Hum Reprod Update.* 2011; 17(3): 383–96.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Mori A, Zhai YL, Toki T, *et al.*: **Distribution and heterogeneity of mast cells in the human uterus.** *Hum Reprod.* 1997; 12(2): 368–72.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Mylonas L, Jeschke U, Shabani N, *et al.*: **Immunohistochemical analysis of estrogen receptor alpha, estrogen receptor beta and progesterone receptor in normal human endometrium.** *Acta Histochem.* 2004; 106(3): 245–252.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Narita S, Goldblum RM, Watson CS, *et al.*: **Environmental estrogens induce mast cell degranulation and enhance IgE-mediated release of allergic mediators.** *Environ Health Perspect.* 2007; 115(1): 48–52.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Nicovani S, Rudolph MI: **Estrogen receptors in mast cells from arterial walls.** *Biocell.* 2002; 26(1): 15–24.

[PubMed Abstract](#)

Oppong E, Hedde PN, Sekula-Neuner S, *et al.*: **Localization and dynamics of glucocorticoid receptor at the plasma membrane of activated mast cells.**

*Small.* 2014; 10(10): 1991–8.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Padawer J: **Mast cells: extended lifespan and lack of granule turnover under normal *in vivo* conditions.** *Exp Mol Pathol.* 1974; 20(2): 269–280.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Pejler G, Abrink M, Ringvall M, *et al.*: **Mast cell proteases.** *Adv Immunol.* 2007; 95: 167–255.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Phuc Le P, Friedman JR, Schug J, *et al.*: **Glucocorticoid receptor-dependent gene regulatory networks.** *PLoS Genet.* 2005; 1(2): e16.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Protic O, Toti P, Islam MS, *et al.*: **Possible involvement of inflammatory/ reparative processes in the development of uterine fibroids.** *Cell Tissue Res.* 2016; 364(2): 415–27.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Rae M, Mohamad A, Price D, *et al.*: **Cortisol inactivation by 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-2 may enhance endometrial angiogenesis via reduced thrombospondin-1 in heavy menstruation.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2009; 94(4): 1443–50.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Salamonsen LA, Lathbury LJ: **Endometrial leukocytes and menstruation.** *Hum Reprod Update.* 2000; 6(1): 16–27.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Salamonsen LA, Zhang J, Brasted M: **Leukocyte networks and human endometrial remodelling.** *J Reprod Immunol.* 2002; 57(1–2): 95–108.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Sivridis E, Giatromanolaki A, Agnantis N, *et al.*: **Mast cell distribution and density in the normal uterus—metachromatic staining using lectins.** *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2001; 98(1): 109–113.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Smith SJ, Piliponsky AM, Rosenhead F, *et al.*: **Dexamethasone inhibits maturation, cytokine production and Fc epsilon RI expression of human cord blood-derived mast cells.** *Clin Exp Allergy.* 2002; 32(6): 906–13.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Snijders MP, de Goeij AF, Debets-Te Baerts MJ, *et al.*: **Immunocytochemical analysis of oestrogen receptors and progesterone receptors in the human uterus throughout the menstrual cycle and after the menopause.** *J Reprod Fertil.* 1992; 94(2): 363–71.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Thiruchelvam U, Dransfield I, Saunders PT, *et al.*: **The importance of the macrophage within the human endometrium.** *J Leukoc Biol.* 2013; 93(2): 217–25.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Thiruchelvam U, Maybin JA, Armstrong GM, *et al.*: **Cortisol regulates the paracrine action of macrophages by inducing vasoactive gene expression in endometrial cells.** *J Leukoc Biol.* 2016; 99(6): 1165–71.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Tiwari N, Wang CC, Brochetta C, *et al.*: **VAMP-8 segregates mast cell-preformed mediator exocytosis from cytokine trafficking pathways.** *Blood.* 2008; 111(7): 3665–3674.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Uduwela AS, Perera MA, Aiqing L, *et al.*: **Endometrial-myometrial interface: relationship to adenomyosis and changes in pregnancy.** *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 2000; 55(6): 390–400.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Valent P, Spanblöchl E, Sperr WR, *et al.*: **Induction of differentiation of human mast cells from bone marrow and peripheral blood mononuclear cells by recombinant human stem cell factor/kit-ligand in long-term culture.** *Blood.* 1992; 80(9): 2237–45.

[PubMed Abstract](#)

Weidner N, Austen KF: **Heterogeneity of mast cells at multiple body sites. Fluorescent determination of avidin binding and immunofluorescent determination of chymase, tryptase, and carboxypeptidase content.** *Pathol Res Pract.* 1993; 189(2): 156–62.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Wernersson S, Pejler G: **Mast cell secretory granules: armed for battle.** *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2014; 14(7): 478–94.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Yamaguchi M, Hirai K, Komiya A, *et al.*: **Regulation of mouse mast cell surface Fc epsilon RI expression by dexamethasone.** *Int Immunol.* 2001; 13(7): 843–51.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Zaitsu M, Narita SI, Lambert KC, *et al.*: **Estradiol activates mast cells via a non-genomic estrogen receptor-alpha and calcium influx.** *Mol Immunol.* 2007; 44(8): 1977–85.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Zhang S, Howarth PH, Roche WR: **Cytokine production by cell cultures from bronchial subepithelial myofibroblasts.** *J Pathol.* 1996; 180(1): 95–101.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

Zhou J, Liu DF, Liu C, *et al.*: **Glucocorticoids inhibit degranulation of mast cells in allergic asthma via nongenomic mechanism.** *Allergy.* 2008; 63(9): 1177–85.

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

# Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Version 1

Referee Report 31 May 2017

doi:10.5256/f1000research.12343.r23114



**Areege M. Kamal** <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The National Centre for Early Detection of Cancer, Oncology Teaching Hospital, Baghdad Medical City, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>2</sup> University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

This is an observational, descriptive study describing the enzymatic and hormonal phenotype of the mast cells in full thickness normal human endometrium and the adjacent myometrial layers. The data from the modest sample size presented in the manuscript confirms previous reports of spatio-temporal distribution of MC and their phenotype throughout the menstrual cycle; highlighting the novel finding, the glucocorticoid receptor expression in these cells. The authors have also examined the GR expression in the context of the expression of a selected panel of other steroid receptors. The manuscript is well written and presented; however, there are some points detailed below which warrants further consideration/clarification.

## General comments:

The conventional histopathological description of the endometrial layers as endometrial basalis layer and functionalis layer, or stratum basalis or stratum functionalis throughout the manuscript may provide more clarity than the inconsistent use of less conventional descriptive terms of basal compartment etc. in the present MS.

Referral to some pivotal previous references could make the manuscript more comprehensive, such as Engemise SL *et al*, *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.*, 2011; [Mori A \*et al.\*, \*Hum Reprod.\*, 1997](#); [Drudy L \*et al.\*, \*Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.\*, 1991](#)

The description of the genes *TPSAB1* and *CMA1* first appear in the results section but should be stated at the initial mention for clarity.

## Methods:

1. Authors have analysed the *TPSAB1* and *CMA1* mRNA expression by relative standard curve using a tonsil mRNA as a reference. What is the reason for this and why was this method utilised in place of the usual comparative Ct (delta delta Ct) method? The reasons need to be explained with reference to the more widely known method.



2. In dual IHC, was the 2RT antibody incubation for 16h? This appears to be relatively longer than the usual protocols. Did the authors use a quantification method to assess the immunolocalisation of the proteins of interest?

**Results:**

1. The total number of samples mentioned in the Methods is 46, yet there is inconsistent numbers used at different aspects of the analysis. Particularly in the paragraph describing steroid receptors co-stained with tryptase, the number of samples stated in the legends of referenced figures does not add up to 20 as mentioned in the text.
2. The authors present data on the steroid receptor expression in tryptase-positive uterine mast cells why did they not present the data for the same in the chymase-positive cells? It is noticeable that not all the MC were ER $\beta$ +, this might be associated with chymase expression?
3. Data in Table 1 could be more informative, with quantification of the expression and statement if this refers to the exact endometrial layer; basalis or functionalis.
4. In Figure 6, GR+ MCs seems to be activated. Is this pertinent to all the samples? Or is it phase specific phenomenon?

**Discussion:**

1. Page 10, "We found that the concentrations of tryptase and chymase mRNA ", should be replaced with "levels"
2. The statement "As tryptase and chymase are constitutively expressed by MCs (Pejler *et al.*, 2007), it is unsurprising they may remain unchanged if cell numbers are fairly constant." Need to be updated with reference to activated MC releasing the tryptases to the extracellular compartment, but the extracellular compartment is part of the whole endometrial lysate that has been examined so overall levels are not expected to change anyway.
3. The reference to some other papers that agree and disagree with their work (mentioned above), authors may also acknowledge the small sample size as a limitation of their study.

**Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?**

Partly

**Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?**

Yes

**Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**

Partly

**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**

Yes

**Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**

Yes

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.**

Author Response ( *Member of the F1000 Faculty* ) 17 Jun 2017

**Philippa Saunders**, MRC Centre for Inflammation Research, University of Edinburgh, UK

We thank Dr Kamal for her comments on our paper which we have edited to address some of her concerns.

Specific responses:

We have now referenced the small study by Mori *et al* (1997) in the Introduction and the study by Engemise *et al* in the Discussion.

We have changed the text of the Introduction so that the abbreviations for TPSAB1 and CMA1 are introduced during the first mention of their importance in defining the mast cell phenotype.

Methods.

The text describing the samples has been changed to highlight the patient information provided in Suppl Table 1 and clarify that although 46 patients were recruited during this study their samples were split between RNA (n=29) and fixation for immunostaining (n=26).

Analysis methods for RTPCR data: Although the delta delta CT method is one of the most popular it is based on comparable amplification efficiencies of the endogenous control and gene of interest. As determined by the standard curves the efficiencies of the TPSAB1 and CMA1 were not suitable for analysis by this method and therefore a more appropriate method was the relative standard curve method. The text in M&M has been revised to make this clearer.

See: Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA *et al*, the MIQE guidelines: Minimum Information for Publication of Quantitative Real-Time PCR experiments, *Clinical Chemistry* 55:4 611-622 (2009)

These methods are also described in detail in Applied Biosystems manual online  
[http://www6.appliedbiosystems.com/support/tutorials/pdf/performing\\_rq\\_gene\\_exp\\_rtqcr.pdf](http://www6.appliedbiosystems.com/support/tutorials/pdf/performing_rq_gene_exp_rtqcr.pdf)

The tonsil sample was chosen as a positive control as this tissue has mast cells which contain tryptase and chymase, the RNA sample was purchased from a commercial supplier and we chose this tissue because we were able to access fixed material from our hospital to use for control experiments to validate the antibodies.

For dual immunostaining primary antibodies were applied to sections overnight (16h) and incubated in a fridge - secondary antibodies were applied for 1h at room temperature. This is a standard method used in our laboratory and in our hands it leads to lower rates of non-specific background staining than application of primary antibody at room temperature. We did not quantify the fluorescent staining.

Results.

The limitations on the amount of individual fixed samples meant that not all of the fixed samples (n=20) were stained with all antibodies and this is reflected in the numbers stated in the figure legends.

MCs were considered as ERbeta positive only if the entire nucleus was identified in the section (as highlighted with white arrowheads). In this study the low number of chymase only MCs meant we were not able to conduct a comprehensive staining for ERbeta.

In the summary Table 1 we decided to combine the results from the functional and basal layers because the mast cells were very rare in the former and we wanted to provide an overview to complement the more comprehensive data shown in the individual photographs.

Our interpretation of the data related to GR positive cells (as illustrated in Figure 3, 6 panels) is that the tryptase positive mast cells that were GR+ appeared to be in resting state i.e. the tryptase appeared to be confined to the cytoplasmic area around the nucleus.

Discussion.

We used a standard curve method so 'concentration' is more appropriate than 'levels' which is a common term when the delta CT method is used.

We have changed the text to make it clearer that this paragraph is discussing mRNAs and hence protein release is not relevant to the argument about constitutive expression.

**Competing Interests:** none

Referee Report 16 May 2017

doi:10.5256/f1000research.12343.r22759



**Lois Salamonsen**

Hudson Institute of Medical Research, Clayton, VIC, Australia

This article examines in detail the phenotypes of mast cells in the uterus – depending on their tryptase/chymase content and their steroid hormone receptor status. The work is solid, and well presented. The methods are well described so that others can validate the data. While tryptase/chymase phenotypes have previously been described across the menstrual cycle (referenced), the information regarding a tryptase-/chymase+ phenotype is new, as is the steroid receptor phenotype of ERβ+/GR+/ERα-/PR- in tryptase positive cells. Where the data particularly varies from that previously published is in the activation status of the mast cells. This variation could be explained by different fixation protocols or different antibodies. Activation is very important as mast cells are only functionally relevant following this release of the potent molecular contents of their granules.

**Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?**

Yes

**Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?**

Yes

**Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**

Yes

**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**

Not applicable

**Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**

Yes

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Referee Expertise:** Uterine biology and endometrial remodelling, including leukocyte populations in endometrium

**I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.**

Author Response ( *Member of the F1000 Faculty* ) 17 Jun 2017

**Philippa Saunders**, MRC Centre for Inflammation Research, University of Edinburgh, UK

We thank Professor Salamonsen for her positive comments: we agree different methods of fixation can affect results in different studies. All the samples in this study were processed according to standard methods approved by our pathologist.

**Competing Interests:** none